







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/764,560	12/12/1996		JUN KAKUTA	1083.1027/JD	4899		
21171	7590	03/05/2002					
STAAS & H			EXAMINER				
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	,		HUYNH, CONG LAC T				
WASHINGTO	DN, DC	20001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
				2176			
					DATE MAILED: 03/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HU

		· ·	HG
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
- Advisory Action	08/764,560	KAKUTA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover shet w	ith the correspond nce add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2002 FAILS TO I Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ed to avoid abandonment of th her: (1) a timely filed amendn Appeal (with appeal fee); or (is application. A proper renember her	eply to a lication in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the main Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH The date on which the petition under of the first of extension and the corresponding are the protected statutory period for reply origin	ling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. TO CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate equals yet in the final Office action; of	See MPEP ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (ellant's Brief must be filed wit 37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	hin the period set forth in smissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below)	•
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applic issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appea	I by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected cla	ıims.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	would be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely fil	ed amendment

5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u>.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

10. Other:

PRIMARY EXAMINER



₩

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument that Convington does not disclose a transparent window because the video event 150 does not display the content of the main window 105 is not persuasive. Depending on the selected object, the selected object will be displayed on the overlaid window over the main event window as seen in figures 1 and 2. In both figures 1 and 2, the content of the main event window can be seen. Therefore, the overlaid window displaying the selected object is transparent.